Case 5:02-cr-20128-RMW Document 45 Filed 09/11/09 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR02-20128JF</u>
v.	<u> </u>
ERNEST J. ORTEGA , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING
was held on September 11, 2009. Defendant was present, represent represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney <u>Susan Knight</u> .	e, 3143(a) and Criminal Rule 32.1(a)(b), a detention hearing ed by his attorney Cynthia Lie AFPD. The United States was
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on rele	ase pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of	conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
// There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
	ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq	
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearr	n during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	unity.
/ X / No presumption applies but defendant has burden of	offering clear and convincing evidence that he of a rug trak
detained.	evidence to meet his burden, and he therefore will be ordered
detailled.	_
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	ut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: . RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOR
	ICABLE) SAN JOSE e evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, ANI	
	evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REA	
	18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	10 older, 3 of 12(g) and an of the information submitted at
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	ritten findings
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a
rections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awai	
defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private co	
ted States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the	
endant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance	

Dated: 9/11/09

HOWARD R. LLOYD United States Magistrate Judge